UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,825	09/20/2005	Murray Edward Bruce Leighton	THOM-0039	6842	
	7590 07/17/2007 DCOCK WASHBURN LLP CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR ARCH STREET	7	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	•		PRIOLEAU, AVERY D		
10/522,825 09/20/2005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3782		
				<del></del>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/522,825	LEIGHTON, MURRAY EDWARD BRUCE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Avery D. Prioleau	3782			
 Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHICH - Extension - after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sty received by the Office later than three months after the monatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/20/05</u> .					
2a)∐ T	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ <sup>3</sup>	This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applica  i) Of the above claim(s) is/are with laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application	n Papers					
9)[] Th	ne specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
•	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the co ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	· - ·	· · · · · · ·			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) [	cknowledgment is made of a claim for form  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docum  Certified copies of the priority docum  Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r rreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
· ==	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) Interview St. Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application 			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, drawn to a re-closable bag having a re-closable zipper and a top seal.

Group II, claim(s) 6-12, drawn to a method for manufacturing a re-closable bag.

The inventions listed as Groups I and Group II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of Group I is a re-closable bag having a re-closable zipper and a top seal which is openable to gain access to the zipper.

The special technical feature of Group II is manufacturing a bag in which lengths of a elongate tear element are attached to the zippers and a tail portions of the lengths of elongate element are positioned to lie within the area where the top seal is intended to be formed. Therefore the inventions do not relate to a single general inventive concept as Group I is not "specially designed" to be manufactured with lengths of a elongate tear element are attached to the zippers and tail portions of the lengths of

elongate element positioned to lie within the area where the top seal is intended to be formed and Group II does not inherently result in the re-closable bag having a re-closable zipper and a top seal which is openable to gain access to the zipper.

A telephone call was made to John Caldwell on 6/14/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3782

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avery D. Prioleau whose telephone number is 571-272-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER